



APPLICATION NO.

09/612,565

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

35.C14627 9229

5514 7590 11/10/2004 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO

07/07/2000

30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 LEZAK, ARRIENNE M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

2143

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Nobuhiko Maki

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/612,565	MAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arrienne M. Lezak	2143
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,7,8,11,13,21,22,29 and 31-38 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1.2,4,7,8,11,13,21,22,29 and 31-38 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 		
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
		,
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>22 September 2004</u> . U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6)	
	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 10112004

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 19 July 2004 has been entered. Examiner notes that Claims 1, 7, 11, 21 & 29 have been amended, and no Claims have been cancelled or amended. Claims not explicitly addressed herein are found to be addressed within prior Office Action dated 17 March 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Newly Amended Claims 1, 7, 11, 21 & 29 in addition to previously presented Claims 2, 4, 8, 13, 22 & 31-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over extensive consideration of US Patent 6,460,030 B1 to Ludtke.
- 4. Regarding Newly Amended Claims 1, 7, 11, 21 & 29, Ludtke discloses a network system comprising a sever, a client and a device, (Abstract; Fig. 5A-5D; Col. 1, lines 64-67; Col. 2, lines 1-2; and Col. 12, lines 1-47);

Art Unit: 2143

- <u>said server comprising</u>: first storage means for storing hierarchical position information indicating the position of said device in a hierarchical manner, (Col. 12, lines 1-47 – (command structure); Col. 21, lines 60-67; and Col. 22, lines 1-44);

Page 3

- first transmission unit, for transmission of hierarchical position information stored by first storage unit to said client via the network, (Col. 12, lines 1-47 (command structure));
- <u>said device comprising</u>: second storage means for storing icon data indicating the device, (Fig. 5D; Col. 12, lines 1-47 (descriptive data); Col. 21, lines 60-67; Col. 22, lines 1-44);
 - control means for transmitting said icon data to said client via the network, (Col. 4, lines 40-54 and Col. 12, lines 1-47 (command structure and descriptive data));
- <u>said client comprising</u>: a first reception unit, adapted to receive the hierarchical position information transmitted by the first transmission unit via the network, (Fig. 5D and Col. 12, lines 1-47 (command structure));
 - a second transmission unit, adapted to transmit a request to a device corresponding to the hierarchical position information received by the first reception unit so as to acquire the icon data stored in the second storage unit from the device via the network, (Fig. 5D and Col. 12, lines 1-47 (command structure and descriptive data));

Art Unit: 2143

- a second reception unit, adapted to receive the icon data transmitted by the control unit via the network, (Fig. 5D and Col. 12, lines 1-47 - (descriptive data)); and

Page 4

- display means adapted to display, <u>based on the hierarchical position</u>
 <u>information received by the first reception unit</u>, the icon indicated by the icon
 data received by the second reception unit, (Col. 2, lines 2-30; Fig. 5D; Col. 12,
 lines 1-67 (command structure and descriptive data); and Col. 13, lines 1-5).
- 5. Examiner notes that Ludtke specifically discloses a response format which may include the data address, object position, object identifier and a list (type) containing the object, all of which satisfy a search criterion, (Col. 12, lines 1-47 (command structure); Col. 21, lines 60-67; and Col. 22, lines 1-44), which search criterion obviously enumerates the specific needs of the user, and which response is obviously based upon resource status. In other words, Examiner notes that Ludtke returns information which fulfills user need, (as a response containing unavailable resources would not fulfill user need, and thus, such a response would obviously not be returned once it was determined that the resource status was not in compliance with user need/search criteria), and therefore by default, the Ludtke response obviously, (if not inherently), indicates resource availability/status.
- 6. Further, Examiner notes that as Ludtke discloses a response using (types of) list(s) of network devices satisfying search criteria, the use of a hierarchical structure, (i.e.: ADSI accessing LDAP), for said lists is well known in the art and obvious, (if not inherent), to computer/network navigational systems. As the response contains a

Art Unit: 2143

means, (list), by which a device may be located within a computer network, such a response would obviously, (if not inherently), be in hierarchical form. Moreover, Examiner notes that Ludtke discloses the use of an object identifier, which identifier within a computer network is well known to obviously, (if not inherently), take the form of an icon for ease in identification via graphic/textual representation. Thus, Newly Amended Claims 1, 7, 11, 21 & 29 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of Ludtke.

Page 5

- 7. Regarding Claims 2, 8, and 22, Ludtke is relied upon for those teachings disclosed herein. Ludtke further discloses a network system with a <u>client</u> further comprising: a third storage means for storing map data corresponding to said hierarchical position information, wherein the display means selects the map data from the third storage means based on the received hierarchical position information, and displays the icon in accordance with the selected map data, (Col. 2, lines 2-30; Col. 9, lines 66-67; and Col. 10, lines 1-5; Fig. 5D and Col. 12, lines 1-47). Thus, Claims 2, 8, and 22 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of Ludtke.
- 8. Regarding Claim 4, Ludtke is relied upon for those teachings disclosed herein. Ludtke further discloses a network system wherein the <u>device</u> comprises a judgment unit, adapted to judge the status of a device, the second storage unit stores a plurality of icon data each of which corresponds to the status of said device status, and said control unit selects the icon data in accordance with the judged status from the plurality of stored icon data and transmits the selected icon data to client, (Fig. 5D; Col. 12, lines 1-

Art Unit: 2143

47; Col. 21, lines 60-67 and Col. 22, lines 1-44). Thus, Claim 4 is found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of Ludtke.

- 9. Regarding Claims 11 and 13, Ludtke is relied upon for those teachings disclosed herein. Ludtke further discloses a <u>device</u> for processing a job requested via a network, comprising:
- first storage means for storing hierarchical position information indicating the position of said device in a plurality of hierarchical layers, (Col. 12, lines 1-47; Col. 21, lines 60-67; and Col. 22, lines 1-44);
- second storage means for storing a plurality of icon data indicating the device, (Fig. 5D; Col. 12, lines 1-47; Col. 21, lines 60-67; Col. 22, lines 1-44);
- a judgment unit, adapted to judge the status of the device, (Fig. 5D; Col. 12, lines 1-47; Col. 21, lines 60-67 and Col. 22, lines 1-44).
- a selection unit, adapted to select icon data from among the plurality of icon data stored in said second storage unit in accordance with the status judges by said judgment unit, (Fig. 5D; Col. 12, lines 1-47; Col. 21, lines 60-67 and Col. 22, lines 1-44); and
- control unit adapted to transmit the icon data selected by said selection unit via the network, (Col. 4, lines 40-54 and Col. 12, lines 1-47), in response to a request from another device on the network, (per pending newly amended Claim 13), (Col. 21, lines 60-67 and Col. 22, lines 1-44).

Thus, Claims 11 & 13 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of Ludtke.

Application/Control Number: 09/612,565 Page 7

Art Unit: 2143

10. Regarding Claims 31 & 35, Ludtke is relied upon for those teachings disclosed herein. Ludtke further discloses a system and method wherein the <u>client</u> further comprises a processor unit adapted to process the received hierarchical position information to identify a device corresponding to the received hierarchical position information, and wherein the second transmission unit transmits the request to the identified device, (Fig. 5D; Col. 12, lines 1-47; Col. 21, lines 60-67 and Col. 22, lines 1-44). Thus, Claims 31 & 35 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of Ludtke.

- 11. Regarding Claims 32 & 36, Ludtke is relied upon for those teachings disclosed herein. Ludtke further discloses a system and method wherein the hierarchical position information indicates at least two areas in which the device is located, one of the at least two areas being included within another of the at least two areas, (Col. 2, lines 15-30; Fig. 5D; Col. 12, lines 1-47; Col. 21, lines 60-67 and Col. 22, lines 1-44). Thus, Claims 32 & 36 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of Ludtke.
- 12. Regarding Claims 33 & 37, Ludtke is relied upon for those teachings disclosed herein. Ludtke further discloses a system and method wherein said <u>client</u> further comprises a third transmission unit adapted to transmit a request to a device corresponding to the received hierarchical position information so as to acquire a status of the device, and wherein the second reception unit receives the icon data corresponding to the status of the device, (Fig. 5D; Col. 12, lines 1-47; Col. 21, lines 60-67 and Col. 22, lines 1-44). Thus, Claims 33 & 37 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of Ludtke.

Art Unit: 2143

13. Regarding Claims 34 & 38, Ludtke is relied upon for those teachings disclosed herein. Ludtke further discloses a system and network wherein said <u>client</u> further comprises a third transmission unit adapted to transmit a request to said server so as to search for a desired device, and wherein the first reception unit receives the hierarchical position information as a response to the request transmitted by the third transmission unit, (Fig. 5D; Col. 12, lines 1-47; Col. 21, lines 60-67 and Col. 22, lines 1-44). Thus, Claims 34 & 38 are found to be unpatentable over considerable consideration of Ludtke.

Page 8

Response to Arguments

- 14. Applicant's arguments filed 22 September 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.
- 15. In response to Applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "a display unit, adapted to display, <u>based on the hierarchical position information received by the first reception unit</u>") are not recited in the originally rejected claim(s) as enumerated within the prior Office Action dated 17 March 2004.
- 16. Ludtke discloses the display of menu, (list), information to the user, (Col. 7, lines –31), and a communication command structure between controller and target devices,

Art Unit: 2143

(Figs 4A-4D; Col. 10, lines 40-67; and Col. 11), as well as a communication command

Page 9

structure between controller, proxy and target devices, (Figs. 5A-5D; Col. 12; Col. 13, lines1-5; and Claims 1-42). Specifically, Examiner notes that Ludtke discloses "the proxy device directing the target device to transfer the media object described by the descriptive data", (Col. 12, lines 45-47), which reads upon "based on the (hierarchical) position information received by the first reception unit, (the proxy/server), (the controller/client) displaying the icon indicated by the data received by the second reception unit, (device)". Further, Examiner notes that Ludtke satisfies Applicant's need for the client to receive the (hierarchical) position information from the (proxy) server, and receive icon data for a device corresponding to the (hierarchical) position information from the other information (device) processor. Additionally, Examiner notes that based on the teachings of Ludtke, it would have been obvious to combine the controller/device communication with the controller/proxy/device communication whereby the controller requests icon information directly of the device after receipt of descriptive information from the proxy. Such modified communication would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by Applicant as a means for improving overall network performance, (Abstract), wherein the proxy would then be "freed up" to respond to other user requests.

17. Thus, as Examiner has completely addressed Applicant's amendment, and finding Applicant's arguments do not show how Applicant's amendment avoids such references or objections, Examiner hereby maintains the original rejection of all claims Application/Control Number: 09/612,565 Page 10

Art Unit: 2143

in their entirety. Examiner has addressed Applicant's amendment, and has further

rejected Newly Amended Claims 1, 7, 11, 21 & 29, as noted herein above.

Conclusion

18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure:

US Patent US 6,389,589 B1 to Mishra.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Arrienne M. Lezak whose telephone number is (703)-

305-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (703)-308-5221. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-

305-6121.

Arrienne M. Lezak Examiner

Art Unit 2143

AML

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100